UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,011	08/16/2006	Klaus Hassdenteufel	016906-0476	2494	
	7590 04/25/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	T NIW		DUONG, THO V		
WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/573,011	HASSDENTEUFEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Tho v. Duong	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		<del>-</del>					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a)</li></ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOī v);	ΓE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	acted claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 <sup>-1</sup>		cied ciairris.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane / monamone (	. 02 02 1/1				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>22-25</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,21 and 26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	bafana an an tha data of filing a Nie	ation of Annual will mak	h = = = t = = = d				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
	/Tho v Duong/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3744					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims 1-9,21 and 26 raise new issues that would require further consideration and updated search..